1. Proposal Lay Summary	/5
 Is the summary 300 words or less? Does the summary clearly present the problem addressed in the proposed project, the question being asked, the proposed hypotheses, and an overview of the methods/protocols? Is the summary written in a manner that can be easily understood by someone outside the discipline? 	
2. Problem statement, question(s) to be addressed, and hypotheses	/20
• Is the problem clearly articulated, based on key literature, and a gap in knowledge	
clearly identified?Is a question asked that addresses the knowledge gap identified in the problem	
 statement? Can the question asked be answered within the time constraints of the proposal? Is the hypothesis linked to literature cited in the problem statement and is it a reasonable predicted answer to the posed question? 	
3. Review of background literature	/20
 Does the reviewed literature adequately reflect the scope of the proposal? Does the reviewed literature adequately reflect the current understanding of the proposed problem? Is the literature reviewed presented in a clear, coherent manner? For projects consisting primarily of textual research, does the literature review clearly demonstrate an informed trajectory for the reading to be accomplished during the project? 	
4. Methods or Procedure	/20
 Are the included methods/Procedures sufficient to address the proposed question? Are methods/procedures sufficiently described and explained to provide clarity on how the data/information required to complete the proposed project will be collected. This includes information on such items as sample size, instrumentation, consumable materials, and literature. Are the methods/procedures included appropriate for the specific discipline being studied? 	
• Are the methods/procedures descriptions and explanations presented in a manner that shows understanding by the proposal writer?	
5. Benefits and Outcomes of the Proposed Project	/10
 Does the proposal clearly describe the significance of the project with regard to the specific field of study? Does the proposal clearly describe the academic and personal importance of the proposed work to the proposal author? Are the professional outcomes and products that will result from the proposed project appropriate for the proposal author and discipline? 	
6. Budget	/10
 Does the proposed budget include a stipend for summer work if requested? Does the proposed budget include a realistic appraisal of likely costs associated with necessary supplies, travel required to complete the project, and other expenses? 	

• Is a satisfactory justification included for each proposed budget item?

7. Faculty sponsor statement

- Did the faculty mentor provide a letter in support of the student?
 If so, does the letter clearly articulate the value of the proposed research and the strength of the proposal?
 Does the letter address the appropriateness of the proposed outcomes of the project relative to the discipline?
 Does the letter show commitment to mentor the student and lay out a clear mentorship plan?
- mentorship plan?

8. Writing and Editing

• Does the proposal demonstrate a level of stylistic clarity and editing care that meets the professional expectations of the discipline in which the research is pursued?

/5